

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/435,168	11/05/1999	SANJAY P. MURALIDHAR	1899-001	4848
9629	7590 02/17/2005		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			ZEENDER, FLORIAN M	
	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(1) A 1 1 2		· /			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
7 / 255 2 4 5	09/435,168	MURALIDHAR, SANJAY P.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	F. Ryan Zeender	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status .					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	November 2004.				
·_ ·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 25 and 39-42 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25 and 29-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		V.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicat Ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		(0.7.0, 14.0.)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/435,168

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 25, and 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 25, lines 6-7, it is not clear whether the terminology, "information concerning at least one attendance right option for said potential event" refers to the same information claimed in line 3 or to separate distinct information.

In claim 25, line 9, the terminology, "participants" lacks antecedent basis.

In claims 41-42, "said pricing information" (emphasis added) lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 25, 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. '127 in view of Official Notice.

Walker et al. disclose a method for marketing attendance right options including: storing in a computer information relating to an attendance right option for an airline flight; linking the computer to a user terminal through a communications link; displaying the information and current price information at the user terminal, and the price determined by market conditions (See, for example, Col. 3, lines 37-41).

Walker et al. lack the teaching of the price terms set between participants in the exchange (if the terminology "between participants" were interpreted to be only between

Art Unit: 3627

a buyer and a seller); the options involving sporting events, and vesting of the option occurring through advancement to or qualification for the event associated with the attendance right.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that at the time of the invention, it was well known in the art of ticket sales for post-season tournament events (i.e., College Basketball) that alumni who chose to give large gifts to a respective college's alumni club (dollar amount, i.e., "pricing", pre-set by the club; see for example "www.UconnHuskies.com" document page 3) during the season would be awarded the option to purchase tournament tickets at the end of the regular season for the subsequent rounds in the tournament in which the respective team qualifies. (See the previously cited document: "Official Athletic Site of the University of Maryland", pages 3-5, for an example of the procedure for ticket sales that was well known at major Universities at the time of applicant's invention). Further, see "www.UconnHuskies.com" document.

Re claim 39: Walker et al. teach the limitations of the claim.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/15/2004 have been considered.

The applicant has argued that ebay.com is not prior art due to the date of printing being 1/5/04. While the Examiner did print out the document pages on 1/5/04, the copyright date for the cited ebay.com document is 1995-2003 (see page 5 of 5). Further, the Examiner has provided an additional e-bay website reference depicting sporting event ticket sales whereby the date of the website was **updated on 7/27/99**.

Application/Control Number: 09/435,168

Art Unit: 3627

Regardless, the argument is moot as the Examiner has not relied on ebay.com for the

purposes of this rejection.

The applicant's arguments with respect to Official Notice are not persuasive. The

Examiner has simply used the University of Maryland document as an example of what

was known in the art prior to applicant's invention. The Examiner has further cited "The

UconnClub" document as an example of what was well known at major Universities.

Again, the Examiner's printout date is not relied upon.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-

8351 until April 13, 2005 at which time the number will become (571) 272-6790. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's

phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for before Final communications and (703) 872-9327 for

after Final communications.

F. Zeender Primary Patent Examiner, A.U. 3627 February 16, 2005

F. RYAN ZEENDER

7/16/05

Page 4